AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 21, 2025 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 10, 2025 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 24, 2025

SENATE BILL

No. 34

Introduced by Senator Richardson

December 2, 2024

An act to add and repeal Section 40453 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to air pollution.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 34, as amended, Richardson. Air pollution: South Coast Air Quality Management District: mobile sources: public seaports.

Existing law generally designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency with the primary responsibility for the control of vehicular air pollution, and air pollution control districts and air quality management districts with the primary responsibility for the control of air pollution from all sources other than vehicular sources. Existing law authorizes air districts to adopt and implement regulations to reduce or mitigate emissions from indirect sources of air pollution.

Existing law provides for the creation of the South Coast Air Quality Management District in those portions of the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino included within the area of the South Coast Air Basin, as specified. Existing law requires the district to adopt rules and regulations to carry out the south coast district air quality management plan that are not in conflict with state and federal laws and rules and regulations and requires those rules and regulations to provide for indirect source controls under certain circumstances.

 $SB 34 \qquad \qquad -2-$

Pursuant to its authority, the district has proposed Rule 2304, which would require the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles to each develop a comprehensive plan for charging and fueling infrastructure for equipment, vehicles, and vessels used in port operations and whose source of propulsion energy or other use of energy, or both, is not, or is not primarily, derived from combustion of conventional fuels.

This bill-would require would, in the event the board of the district to ensure that Rule 2304, among other things, requires takes an action, as defined, require the action to, among other things, require those ports to prepare assessments for the rule of energy demand and supply, cost estimates, and funding source, workforce, and environmental impacts and creates create a process by which those ports can request extensions to the timelines developed to achieve the rule's action's targets. The bill would also prohibit the rule action from, among other things, imposing a firm cap on cargo throughput or limiting operations at the ports in ways that hinder global competitiveness. The bill would make these provisions applicable to any other rule or regulation adopted by the board of the district to address pollution from any mobile source that is already subject to regulation by the state board and that is associated with an operation at any public seaport or marine terminal facility at a public seaport. ports. The bill would repeal its provisions on January 1, 2036.

By imposing additional duties on the district and the ports, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the South Coast Air Quality Management District.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that with regard to certain mandates no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

With regard to any other mandates, this bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs so mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

-3- SB 34

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

- (a) It is in the best interest of the State of California to develop strategies that maintain and grow industries, such as goods movement, concurrent with pursuing environmental benefits.
- (b) Goods movement is the movement of physical products and raw materials to businesses, consumers, and industries by oceangoing vessels, harbor craft, such as tug boats, trucks, locomotives, and cargo-handling equipment.
- (c) In California, there are 11 port authorities. The San Pedro Bay port complex, which historically has encompassed both the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach, is the largest port complex in the State of California, the United States, North America, and the Western Hemisphere and is the ninth largest port complex in the world, supporting over 3 million jobs nationwide and economic activity that generated \$2.78 billion in state and local taxes, plus an additional \$4.73 billion in federal taxes, in 2022.
- (d) For more than two decades, the San Pedro Bay port complex has conducted extensive testing of the emissions of air pollutants. The Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach are two of the cleanest, if not the cleanest, seaport operations in the world, exceeding all of their ambitious voluntary emissions targets by wide margins in 2023, according to the "Inventory of Air Emissions 2023," that showed the total San Pedro Bay emissions of diesel particulate matter down 91 percent, nitrogen oxides down 72 percent, sulfur oxides down 98 percent, and greenhouse gases down by 20 percent when compared to 2005 levels.
- (e) In pursuing the objective of reducing emissions of air pollutants, the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach have adopted significant strategies, such as the Zero Emission Truck Voucher Incentive Program, the Vessel Speed Reduction Program, the Ship Incentive Programs, and Green Shipping Corridors, which have resulted in major environmental benefits while maintaining trade production, even during the complex COVID-19 pandemic.
- (f) Furthermore, the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach have adopted a joint Clean Air Action Plan whose updated goals include transitioning cargo-handling equipment to zero emissions by 2030

SB 34 —4—

3

4

5

6

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

and all drayage trucks calling at marine terminals to zero emissionsby 2035.

- (g) The Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach operate within the South Coast Air Basin, which is impaired by a lack of attainment with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards set by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Within that air basin, the State Air Resources Board (state board) has jurisdiction over the control and reduction of mobile sources of emissions, and the regional South Coast Air Quality Management District (south coast district) has jurisdiction over the control and reduction of stationary sources of emissions.
- (h) All of the current sources of intermodal emissions in operation at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach are currently operating under, and subject to, the regulatory authority of the state board, which has adopted regulations, most of which are the strictest regulations anywhere in the world, limiting the emissions of every component of the intermodal supply chain, including drayage trucks, transportation refrigeration units, forklifts and warehouse equipment, cargo-handling equipment at marine terminals, cargo-handling equipment at rail terminals, oceangoing vessels at berth, oceangoing vessel fuels while in California waters, and commercial harbor craft and pilot vessels. Furthermore, oceangoing vessels in transit are operating under, and subject to, the regulatory authority of federal and international agencies, including the International Maritime Organization, which has a goal of net zero greenhouse gas emissions from international shipping by or around 2050.
- (i) The south coast district has expressed its intention to adopt a regulation to control mobile sources of emissions from the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach through the exercise of an indirect source rule.
- (j) Initial south coast district concepts for a seaport indirect source rule included the imposition of inflexible emissions caps, which would ultimately limit cargo flow at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach due to a lack of technological and economic feasibility and a lack of infrastructure available to accommodate emissions reductions when and if the technology and economic feasibility issues were resolved. This action, in turn, would have catastrophic impacts on jobs, the economy, local communities, environmental quality, and the global supply chain.

5 SB 34

(k) The Ports and the Cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach assert that instead of the adoption of a unilateral indirect source rule, a process for a collaborative agreement would be a better alternative, as it would include the impacted stakeholders who are best positioned to achieve both economic and environmental goals concurrently while minimizing delays and barriers.

- (*l*) Maritime industry stakeholders concur with the Ports and Cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach that a process to implement a collaborative work product versus forcing top-down directives is more likely to create a more results-driven approach to environmental and economic improvements.
- (m) Representatives of maritime labor unions, national, statewide, regional, and local businesses, organized labor, community groups, and other organizations agree with the mayors of the Cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach and are opposed to any indirect source rule that would require, incentivize, encourage, or otherwise promote the use of automated, remotely controlled, or remotely operated equipment, or infrastructure to support automated, remotely controlled, or remotely operated equipment. Representatives of these same organizations would support a collaborative agreement alternative that results in the procurement and operation of human-operated, zero-emissions equipment and infrastructure to support human-operated, zero-emissions equipment at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.
- SEC. 2. Section 40453 is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:
- 40453. (a) Notwithstanding any other law, In the event the south coast district board-shall update Rule 2304 Commercial Marine Ports to, or, if not yet adopted, ensure the final version of Proposed Rule 2304 will, fulfill takes an action before January 1, 2026, the action shall be updated to fulfill, or, if the south coast district board takes an action on or after January 1, 2026, the south coast district board shall ensure the action fulfills, both of the following conditions:
 - (1) The rule action shall do all of the following:
- (A) Recognize the contributions of sources of air pollution outside of the control of the ports.
- (B) Require the ports to prepare assessments for the rule of energy demand and supply, cost estimates, and funding source, workforce, and environmental impacts.

 $SB 34 \qquad \qquad -6-$

(C) Use the assessments developed by the ports prepared pursuant to subparagraph (B) to determine the timelines for achieving the rule's action's targets.

- (D) Create a process by which the ports can request extensions to the timelines developed to achieve the rule's action's targets.
 - (2) The rule action shall not do any of the following:
- (A) Impose a firm cap on cargo throughput or limit operations at the ports in ways that hinder global competitiveness. *ports*.
- (B) Require any actions that reduce pollution from sources that are exclusively under the purview of the state or federal government.
- (C) Set any shorter timeline for achieving zero-emission technology or zero-emission drayage trucks than what was stated in the 2017 Update to the San Pedro Ports Clean Air Action Plan and the 2017 Joint Declaration of the Mayors of the Cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach.
- (b) This section shall apply to any other rule or regulation adopted by the south coast district board to address pollution from any mobile source that is already subject to regulation by the state board and that is associated with an operation at any public seaport or marine terminal facility at a public seaport.

(e)

- (b) For purposes of this section, "ports" the following definitions apply:
 - (1) "Action" means either of the following:
- (A) The adoption or amendment to Rule 2304 Commercial Marine Ports, or any successor or replacement rule or regulation.
- (B) The adoption or amendment of any other rule or regulation adopted by, or the entering into of any agreement, including, but not limited to, a compact, pact, contract, pledge, settlement, covenant, accord, letter of agreement, letter or declaration of intent, letter of understanding, or memorandum of understanding by, the south coast district board to address pollution from any mobile source that is already subject to regulation by the state board and that is associated with an operation at any public seaport or marine terminal facility at a public seaport.
- 36 seaport or marine terminal facility at a public seaport.
 37 (2) "Ports" means the Port of Long Beach and the Port of Los
 38 Angeles.

39 (d)

7 SB 34

(c) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2036, and as of that date is repealed.

- SEC. 3. The Legislature finds and declares that a special statute is necessary and that a general statute cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique circumstances described in Section 1.
 - SEC. 3.

- SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.
- 14 17556 of the Government Code.
 15 However, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that
 16 this act contains other costs mandated by the state, reimbursement
 17 to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made
 18 pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division
 19 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.